JS 44 (Rev. 12/12)

CIVIL COVER SHEET

The JS 44 civil cover sheet and the information contained herein neither replace nor supplement the filing and service of pleadings or other papers as required by law, except as provided by local rules of court. This form, approved by the Judicial Conference of the United States in September 1974, is required for the use of the Clerk of Court for the purpose of initiating the civil docket sheet. (SEE INSTRUCTIONS ON NEXT PAGE OF THIS FORM.)

I. (a) PLAINTIFFS Jermaine Moore				DEFENDANTS City of Phila., J. Delaney, L. Giorla, J. Grundy, M. Billups, D. Ortiz, D. Bynum, M. Capers, J. Palmer, III, E. Marin, C. White				Ortiz, D.	
(b) County of Residence of First Listed Plaintiff Philadelphia (EXCEPT IN U.S. PLAINTIFF CASES)				County of Residence of First Listed Defendant Philade (IN U.S. PLAINTIFF CASES ONLY) NOTE: IN LAND CONDEMNATION CASES, USE THE LOCA THE TRACT OF LAND INVOLVED.				·n	
(c) Attorneys (Firm Name, Rania Major, P.C 2915 215-291-5009			:	Attorneys (If Known) Dimitrios Mavroudi	is				
II. BASIS OF JURISDI	ICTION (Place an "X" in C	ne Box Only)	III. CI	TIZENSHIP OF P	RINCIPAL PAR	RTIES (Place an	"X" in One Bo	for Plaintiff	
□ 1 U.S. Government Plaintiff	Federal Question (U.S. Government	Not a Party)	,			and Or ated or Principal Pl siness In This State	ne Box for Defen PTF ace	ĎEF	
☐ 2 U.S. Government Defendant	☐ 4 Diversity (Indicate Citizensh	ip of Parties in Item III)	Citize	en of Another State		ated <i>and</i> Principal I siness In Another S		□ 5	
				n or Subject of a eign Country	3 🗇 3 Foreign l	Nation	□ 6	6	
IV. NATUŖE OF SUIT	(Place an "X" in One Box O	ıly)							
MINISTRACT MINISTRACT IN THE STREET OF THE S	PERSONAL INJURY	PERSONAL INJURY		RFEITURE/PENALTY## 5 Drug Related Scizure	☐ 422 Appeal 28 USC		THER STATU		
 □ 120 Marine □ 130 Miller Act □ 140 Negotiable Instrument □ 150 Recovery of Overpayment 	☐ 310 Airplane ☐ 315 Airplane Product Liability ☐ 320 Assault, Libel &	☐ 365 Personal Injury - Product Liability ☐ 367 Health Care/ Pharmaceutical		of Property 21 USC 881 O Other	☐ 423 Withdrawal 28 USC 157	☐ 400 ☐ 410 ☐ 430	☐ 375 False Claims Act ☐ 400 State Reapportionment ☐ 410 Antitrust ☐ 430 Banks and Banking ☐ 450 Commerce		
& Enforcement of Judgment 151 Medicare Act 152 Recovery of Defaulted Student Loans	Slander 330 Federal Employers' Liability 340 Marine	Personal Injury Product Liability 368 Asbestos Personal Injury Product		THE RESERVE OF DAYS AS PROPERTIES AND SHARE	☐ 820 Copyrights ☐ 830 Patent ☐ 840 Trademark	☐ 470 ☐ 480	Deportation Racketeer Influe Corrupt Organiz Consumer Cred	ations	
(Excludes Veterans) ☐ 153 Recovery of Overpayment of Veteran's Benefits ☐ 160 Stockholders' Suits ☐ 190 Other Contract ☐ 195 Contract Product Liability ☐ 196 Franchise	☐ 345 Marine Product Liability ☐ 350 Motor Vehicle ☐ 355 Motor Vehicle Product Liability ☐ 360 Other Personal Injury ☐ 362 Personal Injury- Medical Malpractice	Liability PERSONAL PROPER 370 Other Fraud 371 Truth in Lending 380 Other Personal Property Damage 385 Property Damage Product Liability	710 720 740 751	D Fair Labor Standards Act Labor/Management Relations Railway Labor Act Family and Medical Leave Act Other Labor Litigation	MMESOCEAUSEGURE B61 HIA (1395ff) 862 Black Lung (92: 863 DIWC/DIWW (B64 SSID Title XVI 865 RSI (405(g))	3) (405(g))	Cable/Sat TV Securities/Come Exchange Other Statutory Agricultural Act Environmental 1 Freedom of Info Act Arbitration	Actions is Matters	
TO THE REAL PROPERTY AND THE PROPERTY AN	HE GIVILIRICHTS HELDER			l Employee Retirement	**FEDERAL*TAX*S	UTTS 1899	Administrative I	Procedure	
□ 210 Land Condemnation □ 220 Forcelosure □ 230 Rent Lease & Ejectment □ 240 Torts to Land □ 245 Tort Product Liability	440 Other Civil Rights 441 Voting 442 Employment 443 Housing/ Accommodations	Habeas Corpus: 463 Alien Detainee 510 Motions to Vacate Sentence 530 General	•	Income Security Act	☐ 870 Taxes (U.S. Plai or Defendant) ☐ 871 IRS—Third Part 26 USC 7609	ty 🗆 950	Act/Review or A Agency Decision Constitutionality State Statutes	1	
1 290 All Other Real Property	□ 445 Amer, w/Disabilities - Employment □ 446 Amer. w/Disabilities - Other □ 448 Education	☐ 535 Death Penalty Other: ☐ 540 Mandamus & Othe ☐ 550 Civil Rights ☐ 555 Prison Condition ☐ 560 Civil Detaince - Conditions of Confinement	CJ 462	BIMMIGRATION Research Naturalization Application Other Immigration Actions					
V. ORIGIN (Place an "X" in ☐ 1 Original Proceeding 2 Ref State	noved from 3	Remanded from Appellate Court	J 4 Reins Reope			Iultidistrict itigation		_	
_	Cite the U.S. Civil Sta	tute under which you are	e filing (De	o not cite jurisdictional state		2 U.S.C. Sec	1083		
VI. CAUSE OF ACTION	Brief description of ca					2 0.0.0.	1000		
VII. REQUESTED IN COMPLAINT:	CHECK IF THIS UNDER RULE 2:	IS A CLASS ACTION		under 42 U.S.C. Sec MAND\$		ES only if deman	ded in compla Yes 🗇 No		
VIII. RELATED CASE IF ANY	(See instructions):	JUDGE/	<u> </u>		DOCKET NUMB	ER			
FOR OFFICE USE ONLY		STATUTE OF ATT	DRNEY OF	FRECORD					
RECEIPT# AM	OUNT .	APPLYING IFP		JUDGE	м	IAG. JUDGE			

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF PENNSYLVANIA

Jermaine Moore	Civil Action
SCI Dallas	•
1000 Follies Road	
Dallas, PA 18612	NO:
Plaintiff	

V.

City of Philadelphia c/o Law Department One Parkway Building 1515 Arch Street, 14th Floor Philadelphia, PA 19102

and

John P. Delaney, Individually as An agent, servant worker and employee of the City of Philadelphia in the capacity of Warden of the Curran-Fromhold Correctional Facility 1515 Arch Street, 14th Floor Philadelphila, PA 19102

and

Louis Giorla, Individually
As an agent, servant worker and employee
Of the City of Philadelphia in the capacity
Of Commissioner of the
Philadelphia Prison System
1515 Arch Street, 14th Floor
Philadelphia, PA 19102

and

Jason Grundy, Individually and as an agent, servant worker and employee of the City of Philadelphia in the capacity of a Correctional Officer for the Philadelphia Prison System
1515 Arch Street, 14th Floor
Philadelphia, PA 19102

and

Majovie Billups, Individually and as An agent, servant worker and employee of the City of Philadelphia in the capacity of a Correctional Officer for the Philadelphia Prison System 1515 Arch Street, 14th Floor Philadelphia, PA 19102

and

Daisy Ortiz, Individually and as an agent, servant worker and employee of the City of Philadelphia in the capacity of a Correctional Officer for the Philadelphia Prison System Formerly

Court of Common Pleas Philadelphia County Trial Division – Civil April Term 2013 No. 002207 1515 Arch Street, 14th Floor Philadelphia, PA 19102 and

Donovan Bynum, Individually and As an agent, servant worker and employee of the City of Philadelphia in the capacity of a Correctional Officer for the Philadelphia Prison System 1515 Arch Street, 14th Floor Philadelphia, PA 19102

and

Michael Capers, Individually and as an agent, servant worker and employee of the City of Philadelphia in the capacity of a Correctional Officer for the Philadelphia Prison System 1515 Arch Street, 14th Floor Philadelphia, PA 19102

and

James Palmer III, Individually and as an agent, servant worker and employee of the City of Philadelphia in the capacity of a Correctional Officer for the Philadelphia Prison System 1515 Arch Street, 14th Floor Philadelphia, PA 19102

and

Enrique Marin, Individually and as an agent, servant worker and employee of the City of Philadelphia in the capacity of a Correctional Officer for the Philadelphia Prison System 1515 Arch Street, 14th Floor Philadelphia, PA 19102

and

Carlos White, Individually and as an agent, servant worker and employee of the City of Philadelphia in the capacity of a Correctional Officer for the Philadelphia Prison System 1515 Arch Street, 14th Floor Philadelphia, PA 19102

Defendants

NOTICE OF REMOVAL

To the Honorable Judges of the United States District Court for the Eastern District of Pennsylvania.

Pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1441, defendants, John P. Delaney, Louis Giorla, Jason Grundy, Majovie Billups, Daisy Ortiz, Donovan Bynum, Michael Capers, James Palmer, III, Enrique Marin, Carlos White and the City of Philadelphia (hereinafter "petitioners") through their counsel, Dimitrios Mavroudis, Assistant City Solicitor, respectfully petition for the removal of this action to the United States District Court for the Eastern District of Pennsylvania. In support

thereof, defendants state the following:

In April 2013, plaintiff initiated this action by a Complaint in the Court of Common

Pleas in Philadelphia, April 2013, No. 002207. (Exhibit A - Complaint).

2. On December 17, 2013, said Complaint was served on Petitioners at 1515 Arch Street.

14th Floor, Philadelphia, Pennsylvania.

3. Plaintiff alleges that on April 14, 2011, he sustained damages when his civil rights

were violated by the defendants. (Exhibit A).

4. This action may be removed to this Court pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1441 since

Plaintiff's Complaint contains allegations of violations of the plaintiff's Federal Civil Rights and

seeks relief under 42 U.S.C. § 1983. (Exhibit A)

Wherefore, petitioners, John P. Delaney, Louis Giorla, Jason Grundy, Majovie Billups,

Daisy Ortiz, Donovan Bynum, Michael Capers, James Palmer, III, Enrique Marin, Carlos White

and the City of Philadelphia, respectfully request that the captioned Complaint be removed to the

United States District Court for the Eastern District of Pennsylvania.

Respectfully submitted,

Craig Straw

Chief Deputy Clty Solicitor

DÌMITRĬŎS MAVROUDIS

Assistant City Solicitor

Attorney I.D. No. 93773

1515 Arch Street, 14th Floor

Philadelphia, PA 19102

215-683-5444

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF PENNSYLVANIA

Jermaine Moore	Civil Action
SCI Dallas	
1000 Follies Road	
Dallas, PA 18612	NO:
Plaintiff	

V.

City of Philadelphia c/o Law Department One Parkway Building 1515 Arch Street, 14th Floor Philadelphia, PA 19102

and

John P. Delaney, Individually as An agent, servant worker and employee of the City of Philadelphia in the capacity of Warden of the Curran-Fromhold Correctional Facility 1515 Arch Street, 14th Floor Philadelphila, PA 19102

and

Louis Giorla, Individually
As an agent, servant worker and employee
Of the City of Philadelphia in the capacity
Of Commissioner of the
Philadelphia Prison System
1515 Arch Street, 14th Floor
Philadelphia, PA 19102

and

Jason Grundy, Individually and as an agent, servant worker and employee of the City of Philadelphia in the capacity of a Correctional Officer for the Philadelphia Prison System
1515 Arch Street, 14th Floor
Philadelphia, PA 19102

and

Majovie Billups, Individually and as An agent, servant worker and employee of the City of Philadelphia in the capacity of a Correctional Officer for the Philadelphia Prison System 1515 Arch Street, 14th Floor Philadelphia, PA 19102

and

Daisy Ortiz, Individually and as an agent, servant worker and employee of the City of Philadelphia in the capacity Formerly

Court of Common Pleas Philadelphia County Trial Division – Civil April Term 2013 No. 002207 of a Correctional Officer for the Philadelphia Prison System 1515 Arch Street, 14th Floor Philadelphia, PA 19102 and

Donovan Bynum, Individually and As an agent, servant worker and employee of the City of Philadelphia in the capacity of a Correctional Officer for the Philadelphia Prison System 1515 Arch Street, 14th Floor Philadelphia, PA 19102

and

Michael Capers, Individually and as an agent, servant worker and employee of the City of Philadelphia in the capacity of a Correctional Officer for the Philadelphia Prison System 1515 Arch Street, 14th Floor Philadelphia, PA 19102

and

James Palmer III, Individually and as an agent, servant worker and employee of the City of Philadelphia in the capacity of a Correctional Officer for the Philadelphia Prison System 1515 Arch Street, 14th Floor Philadelphia, PA 19102

and

Enrique Marin, Individually and as an agent, servant worker and employee of the City of Philadelphia in the capacity of a Correctional Officer for the Philadelphia Prison System 1515 Arch Street, 14th Floor Philadelphia, PA 19102

and

Carlos White, Individually and as an agent, servant worker and employee of the City of Philadelphia in the capacity of a Correctional Officer for the Philadelphia Prison System
1515 Arch Street, 14th Floor
Philadelphia, PA 19102

Defendants

NOTICE OF FILING OF REMOVAL

TO: Rania Major, P.C. 2915 North 5th Street Philadelphia, PA 19133

PLEASE TAKE NOTICE THAT on January 9, 2014, defendants, John P. Delaney, Louis Giorla, Jason Grundy, Majovie Billups, Daisy Ortiz, Donovan Bynum, Michael Capers, James Palmer, III, Enrique Marin, Carlos White and the City of Philadelphia filed, in the office of the Clerk of the United States District Court for the Eastern District of Pennsylvania a verified Notice of Removal.

A copy of this Notice of Removal is attached hereto and is also being filed with the Clerk of the Court of Common Pleas of Philadelphia County, pursuant to Title 28, United States Code, Section 1446(e).

DIMITRIOS MAVROUDIS
Assistant City Solicitor
Attorney I.D. No. 93773
City of Philadelphia Law Department
1515 Arch Street, 14th Floor
Philadelphia, PA 19102
215-683-5444

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF PENNSYLVANIA

Jermaine Moore	Civil Action
SCI Dallas	
1000 Follies Road	
Dallas, PA 18612	NO:
Plaintiff	

V.

City of Philadelphia c/o Law Department One Parkway Building 1515 Arch Street, 14th Floor Philadelphia, PA 19102

and

John P. Delaney, Individually as An agent, servant worker and employee of the City of Philadelphia in the capacity of Warden of the Curran-Fromhold Correctional Facility 1515 Arch Street, 14th Floor Philadelphila, PA 19102

and

Louis Giorla, Individually
As an agent, servant worker and employee
Of the City of Philadelphia in the capacity
Of Commissioner of the
Philadelphia Prison System
1515 Arch Street, 14th Floor
Philadelphia, PA 19102

and

Jason Grundy, Individually and as an agent, servant worker and employee of the City of Philadelphia in the capacity of a Correctional Officer for the Philadelphia Prison System
1515 Arch Street, 14th Floor
Philadelphia, PA 19102

and

Majovie Billups, Individually and as An agent, servant worker and employee of the City of Philadelphia in the capacity of a Correctional Officer for the Philadelphia Prison System 1515 Arch Street, 14th Floor Philadelphia, PA 19102

and

Daisy Ortiz, Individually and as an agent, servant worker and employee of the City of Philadelphia in the capacity of a Correctional Officer for the Philadelphia Formerly

Court of Common Pleas Philadelphia County Trial Division – Civil April Term 2013 No. 002207 Prison System 1515 Arch Street, 14th Floor Philadelphia, PA 19102 and

Donovan Bynum, Individually and As an agent, servant worker and employee of the City of Philadelphia in the capacity of a Correctional Officer for the Philadelphia Prison System 1515 Arch Street, 14th Floor Philadelphia, PA 19102

Michael Capers, Individually and as an agent, servant worker and employee of the City of Philadelphia in the capacity of a Correctional Officer for the Philadelphia Prison System 1515 Arch Street, 14th Floor Philadelphia, PA 19102

and

and

James Palmer III, Individually and as an agent, servant worker and employee of the City of Philadelphia in the capacity of a Correctional Officer for the Philadelphia Prison System 1515 Arch Street, 14th Floor Philadelphia, PA 19102

and

Enrique Marin, Individually and as an agent, servant worker and employee of the City of Philadelphia in the capacity of a Correctional Officer for the Philadelphia Prison System 1515 Arch Street, 14th Floor Philadelphia, PA 19102 and

Carlos White, Individually and as an agent, servant worker and employee of the City of Philadelphia in the capacity of a Correctional Officer for the Philadelphia Prison System 1515 Arch Street, 14th Floor Philadelphia, PA 19102

Defendants

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I, Dimitrios Mavroudis, Assistant City Solicitor, do hereby certify that a true and correct copy of the attached Notice of Removal has been served upon the following by First Class Mail, postpaid, on the date indicated below:

TO: Rania Major, P.C. 2915 North 5th Street Philadelphia, PA 19133

> Dimitrios Mavroudis Assistant City Solicitor

City of Philadelphia Law Department 1515 Arch Street, 14th Floor Philadelphia, PA 19102 215-683-5444

Date:

Exhibit "A"

RANIA MAJOR, P.C.

Rania Major, Esquire 2915 North 5th Street Philadelphia, PA 19133 Atty. Id. #51298 (215) 291-5009 THIS IS AN ARBITRATION MASSESSMENTOF DAMAGES REQUIRED.

ATTORNEY FOR PLAINTIFF

JERMAINE MOORE

SCI Dallas 1000 Follies Road Dallas, PA 18612

Plaintiff

vs.

CITY OF PHILADELPHIA c/o Law Department One Parkway Building 1515 Arch Street, 14th Floor Philadelphia, PA 19102

and

JOHN P. DELANEY, Individually as an agent, servant worker and employee of the City of Philadelphia in the capacity of Warden of the Curran-Fromhold Correctional Facility 1515 Arch Street, 14th Floor Philadelphia, PA 19102

and

LOUIS GIORLA, Individually as an agent, servant worker and employee of the City of Philadelphia in the capacity of Commissioner of the Philadelphia Prison System 1515 Arch Street, 14th Floor Philadelphia, PA 19102

and

COURT OF COMMON PLEAS PHILADELPHIA COUNTY

APRIL TERM, 2013

NO. 2207

JASON GRUNDY, Individually and as an agent, servant worker and employee of the City of Philadelphia in the capacity of a Correctional Officer for the Philadelphia Prison System 1515 Arch Street, 14th Floor Philadelphia, PA 19102

and

MAJOVIE BILLUPS, Individually and as an agent, servant worker and employee of the City of Philadelphia in the capacity of a Correctional Officer for the Philadelphia: Prison System : 1515 Arch Street, 14th Floor : Philadelphia, PA 19102

and

DAISY ORTIZ, Individually and as : an agent, servant worker and employee : of the City of Philadelphia in the capacity : of a Correctional Officer for the Philadelphia: Prison System : 1515 Arch Street, 14th Floor : Philadelphia, PA 19102 :

and

DONOVAN BYNUM, Individually and as an agent, servant worker and employee of the City of Philadelphia in the capacity of a Correctional Officer for the Philadelphia Prison System 1515 Arch Street, 14th Floor Philadelphia, PA 19102

and

MICHAEL CAPERS, Individually and as an agent, servant worker and employee of the City of Philadelphia in the capacity of a Correctional Officer for the Philadelphia: Prison System :

1515 Arch Street, 14th Floor Philadelphia, PA 19102

and

JAMES PALMER III, Individually and as an agent, servant worker and employee of the City of Philadelphia in the capacity of a Correctional Officer for the Philadelphia: Prison System : 1515 Arch Street, 14th Floor : Philadelphia, PA 19102 :

and

ENRIQUE MARIN, Individually and as an agent, servant worker and employee of the City of Philadelphia in the capacity of a Correctional Officer for the Philadelphia: Prison System : 1515 Arch Street, 14th Floor Philadelphia, PA 19102 :

and

CARLOS WHITE, Individually and as an agent, servant worker and employee of the City of Philadelphia in the capacity of a Correctional Officer for the Philadelphia: Prison System : 1515 Arch Street, 14th Floor : Philadelphia, PA 19102 :

DEFENDANTS

NOTICE

You have been sued in Court. If you wish to defend against the claims set forth in the following pages, you must take action within twenty (20) days after this complaint and notice are served, by entering a written appearance personally or by attorney and filing in writing with the court your defenses or objections to the claims set forth against you. You are warned that if you fail to do so the case may proceed without you and a judgment may be entered against you by the court without further notice for any money

claimed in the complaint or for any other claim or relief requested by the plaintiff. You may lose money or property or other rights important to you.

YOU SHOULD TAKE THIS PAPER TO YOUR LAWYER AT ONCE. IF YOU DO NOT HAVE A LAWYER OR CANNOT AFFORD ONE, GO TO OR TELEPHONE THE OFFICE SET FORTH BELOW TO FIND OUT WHERE YOU CAN GET LEGAL HELP.

AVISO

Le han demandado a usted en la corte. Si usted quiere defenderse de estas demandas expuestas en las paginas siguientes, usted tiene veinte (20) dias de plazo al partir de la fecha de la demanda y la notificacion. Hace falta asentar una comparesencia escrita o en persona o con un abogado y entregar a la corte en forma escrita sus defensas o sus objecciones a las demandas en contra de su persona. Sea avisado que si usted no se defiende, la corte tomara medidas y puede continuar la demanda en contra suya sin previo aviso o notificacion. Ademas, la corte puede decidir a favor del demandante y requiere que usted cumpla con todas las provisiones de esta demanda. Usted puede perder dinero o sus propiedades u otros derechos importantes para usted.

LLEVE ESTA DEMANDA A UN ABOGADO INMEDIATAMENTE. SI NO TIENE ABOGADO O SI NO TIENE EL DINERO SUFICIENTE DE PAGAR TAL SERVICIO, VAYA EN PERSONA O LLAME POR TELEFONO A LA OFICINA CUYA DIRECCION SE ENDUENTRA ESCRITA ABAJO PARA AVERIGUAR DONDE SE PUEDE CONSEGUIR ASISTENCIA LEGAL.

PHILADELPHIA COUNTY BAR ASSOCIATION LAWYER REFERRAL SERVICE 1101 Market Street, 11th Floor Philadelphia, PA 19107 (215) 238-6300

RANIA MAJOR, P.C.

Rania Major, Esquire 2915 North 5th Street Philadelphia, PA 19133 Atty. Id. #51298 (215) 291-5009 THIS IS AN ARBITRATION MATTER ASSESSMENT OF DAMAGES IS REQUIRED.

ATTORNEY FOR PLAINTIFF

JERMAINE MOORE

SCI Dallas 1000 Follies Road Dallas, PA 18612 COURT OF COMMON PLEAS PHILADELPHIA COUNTY

Plaintiff

VS.

CITY OF PHILADELPHIA c/o Law Department One Parkway Building 1515 Arch Street, 14th Floor Philadelphia, PA 19102 APRIL TERM, 2013

NO. 2207

and

JOHN P. DELANEY, Individually as an agent, servant worker and employee of the City of Philadelphia in the capacity of Warden of the Curran-Fromhold Correctional Facility 1515 Arch Street, 14th Floor Philadelphia, PA 19102

and

LOUIS GIORLA, Individually as an agent, servant worker and employee of the City of Philadelphia in the capacity of Commissioner of the Philadelphia Prison System 1515 Arch Street, 14th Floor Philadelphia, PA 19102

and

JASON GRUNDY, Individually and as an agent, servant worker and employee of the City of Philadelphia in the capacity of a Correctional Officer for the Philadelphia Prison System 1515 Arch Street, 14th Floor Philadelphia, PA 19102

and

MAJOVIE BILLUPS, Individually and as an agent, servant worker and employee of the City of Philadelphia in the capacity of a Correctional Officer for the Philadelphia: Prison System : 1515 Arch Street, 14th Floor : Philadelphia, PA 19102 :

and

DAISY ORTIZ, Individually and as : an agent, servant worker and employee : of the City of Philadelphia in the capacity : of a Correctional Officer for the Philadelphia: Prison System : 1515 Arch Street, 14th Floor : Philadelphia, PA 19102 :

and

DONOVAN BYNUM, Individually and as an agent, servant worker and employee of the City of Philadelphia in the capacity of a Correctional Officer for the Philadelphia Prison System 1515 Arch Street, 14th Floor Philadelphia, PA 19102

and

MICHAEL CAPERS, Individually and as : an agent, servant worker and employee : of the City of Philadelphia in the capacity : of a Correctional Officer for the Philadelphia: Prison System :

1515 Arch Street, 14th Floor Philadelphia, PA 19102

and

JAMES PALMER III, Individually and as an agent, servant worker and employee of the City of Philadelphia in the capacity of a Correctional Officer for the Philadelphia: Prison System : 1515 Arch Street, 14th Floor : Philadelphia, PA 19102 :

and

ENRIQUE MARIN, Individually and as an agent, servant worker and employee : of the City of Philadelphia in the capacity : of a Correctional Officer for the Philadelphia: Prison System : 1515 Arch Street, 14th Floor : Philadelphia, PA 19102 :

and

CARLOS WHITE, Individually and as an agent, servant worker and employee of the City of Philadelphia in the capacity of a Correctional Officer for the Philadelphia: Prison System : 1515 Arch Street, 14th Floor : Philadelphia, PA 19102 :

DEFENDANTS

CIVIL ACTION COMPLAINT

1. Plaintiff, Jermaine Moore, is an adult individual who is a citizen of the United States and who, at all times material and relevant hereto, was in custody in the Curran-Fromhold Correctional Facility, located at 7901 State Road, Philadelphia, Pennsylvania 19136, with a current physical residence at the above-captioned address.

- 2. Defendant, the City of Philadelphia (hereinafter "City"), at all relevant and material times hereto was a political subdivision and municipal corporation duly existing and organized under the laws of the Commonwealth of Pennsylvania, receiving federal and/or state funding, and with a regular business address as above-captioned.
- 3. Defendant, John P. Delaney (hereinafter "Delaney"), at all times relevant and material hereto acted individually and in his official capacity as an agent, servant, worker and employee of Defendant, City, as the Warden of the Curran-Fromhold Correctional Facility with a regular business address as above-captioned.
- 4. Defendant, Delaney, at all times relevant and material hereto, was acting within the course and scope of his employment and in furtherance of the business and affairs of Defendant, City, and was, among other responsibilities, responsible for ensuring the safety of inmates incarcerated in the Philadelphia Prison System, making and enforcing policies, practices, customs, and procedures within the prisons as well as providing for adequate training and supervision of correctional staff at the prisons. At all relevant and material times, this defendant acted under color of state law.
- 5. Defendant, Louis Giorla (hereinafter "Giorla"), at all times relevant and material hereto acted individually and in his official capacity as an agent, servant, worker and employee of Defendant, City, as the Commissioner of the Philadelphia Prison System with a regular business address as above-captioned.
- 6. Defendant, Giorla, at all times relevant and material hereto, was acting within the course and scope of his employment and in furtherance of the business and affairs of Defendant, City, and was, among other responsibilities, responsible for ensuring the safety of inmates incarcerated in the Philadelphia Prison System, making and enforcing

policies, practices, customs, and procedures within the prisons as well as providing for adequate training and supervision of correctional staff at the prisons. At all relevant and

material times, this defendant acted under color of state law and authority and within the

scope of his employment.

7. Defendant, Jason Grundy (hereinafter "Grundy"), at all times relevant and

material hereto acted individually and in his official capacity as an agent, servant, worker

and employee of Defendant, City, as a corrections officer within the Philadelphia Prison

System with a regular business address as above-captioned.

8. Defendant, Grundy, at all times relevant and material hereto, was acting within the

course and scope of his employment and in furtherance of the business and affairs of

Defendant, City, as a correctional officer for the Philadelphia Prison System. At all times

relevant and material hereto, said defendant acted under color of state law, within the

course and scope of his employment, and pursuant to the policies, practices, customs, and

procedures of Defendant, City, and the Philadelphia Prison System.

9. Defendant, Majovie Billups (hereinafter "Billups"), at all times relevant and

material hereto, acted individually and in his official capacity as an agent, servant, worker

and employee of Defendant, City, as a corrections officer within the Philadelphia Prison

System with a regular business address as above-captioned.

10. Defendant, Billups, at all times relevant and material hereto, was acting within the

course and scope of his employment and in furtherance of the business and affairs of

Defendant, City, as a correctional officer for the Philadelphia Prison System. At all times

relevant and material hereto, said defendant acted under color of state law, within the

course and scope of his employment, and pursuant to the policies, practices, customs, and procedures of Defendant, City, and the Philadelphia Prison System.

- 11. Defendant, Daisy Ortiz (hereinafter "Ortiz"), at all times relevant and material hereto acted individually and in her official capacity as an agent, servant, worker and employee of Defendant, City, as a corrections officer within the Philadelphia Prison System with a regular business address as above-captioned.
- 12. Defendant, Ortiz, at all times relevant and material hereto, was acting within the course and scope of her employment and in furtherance of the business and affairs of Defendant, City, as a correctional officer for the Philadelphia Prison System. At all times relevant and material hereto, said defendant acted under color of state law, within the course and scope of his employment, and pursuant to the policies, practices, customs, and procedures of Defendant, City, and the Philadelphia Prison System.
- 13. Defendant, Donovan Bynum (hereinafter "Bynum"), at all times relevant and material hereto acted individually and in his official capacity as an agent, servant, worker and employee of Defendant, City, as a corrections officer within the Philadelphia Prison System with a regular business address as above-captioned.
- 14. Defendant, Bynum, at all times relevant and material hereto, was acting within the course and scope of his employment and in furtherance of the business and affairs of Defendant, City, as a correctional officer for the Philadelphia Prison System. At all times relevant and material hereto, said defendant acted under color of state law, within the course and scope of his employment, and pursuant to the policies, practices, customs, and procedures of Defendant, City, and the Philadelphia Prison System.

- 15. Defendant, Michael Capers (hereinafter "Capers"), at all times relevant and material hereto acted individually and in his official-capacity as an agent, servant, worker and employee of Defendant, City, as a corrections officer within the Philadelphia Prison System with a regular business address as above-captioned.
- 16. Defendant, Capers, at all times relevant and material hereto, was acting within the course and scope of his employment and in furtherance of the business and affairs of Defendant, City, as a correctional officer for the Philadelphia Prison System. At all times relevant and material hereto, said defendant acted under color of state law, within the course and scope of his employment, and pursuant to the policies, practices, customs, and procedures of Defendant, City, and the Philadelphia Prison System.
- 17. Defendant, James Palmer III (hereinafter "Palmer"), at all times relevant and material hereto acted individually and in his official capacity as an agent, servant, worker and employee of Defendant, City, as a corrections officer within the Philadelphia Prison System with a regular business address as above-captioned.
- 18. Defendant, Palmer, at all times relevant and material hereto, was acting within the course and scope of his employment and in furtherance of the business and affairs of Defendant, City, as a correctional officer for the Philadelphia Prison System. At all times relevant and material hereto, said defendant acted under color of state law, within the course and scope of his employment, and pursuant to the policies, practices, customs, and procedures of Defendant, City, and the Philadelphia Prison System.
- 19. Defendant, Enrique Marin (hereinafter "Marin"), at all times relevant and material hereto acted individually and in his official capacity as an agent, servant, worker and

employee of Defendant, City, as a corrections officer within the Philadelphia Prison

System with a regular business address as above-captioned.

- 20. Defendant, Marin, at all times relevant and material hereto, was acting within the course and scope of his employment and in furtherance of the business and affairs of Defendant, City, as a correctional officer for the Philadelphia Prison System. At all times relevant and material hereto, said defendant acted under color of state law, within the course and scope of his employment, and pursuant to the policies, practices, customs, and procedures of Defendant, City, and the Philadelphia Prison System.
- 21. Defendant, Carlos White (hereinafter "White"), at all times relevant and material hereto acted individually and in his official capacity as an agent, servant, worker and employee of Defendant, City, as a corrections officer within the Philadelphia Prison System with a regular business address as above-captioned.
- 22. Defendant, White, at all times relevant and material hereto, was acting within the course and scope of his employment and in furtherance of the business and affairs of Defendant, City, as a correctional officer for the Philadelphia Prison System. At all times relevant and material hereto, said defendant acted under color of state law, within the course and scope of his employment, and pursuant to the policies, practices, customs, and procedures of Defendant, City, and the Philadelphia Prison System.
- 23. At all times relevant and material hereto, the defendants acted under color of law, while the individual defendants also acted in their capacities as prison guards and/or officials, although all such acts were beyond the scope of their lawful jurisdiction and authority. In addition, all individual Defendants also are sued in their individual capacities.

- 24. On or about April 14, 2011, the plaintiff was in custody at the Curran Fromhold Correctional Facility (hereinafter "CFCF").
- 25. At approximately 1:00 p.m. on April 14, 2011, in and about the receiving/intake areas of CFCF, Defendants Grundy, Billups, Ortiz, Bynum, Capers, Palmer, Marin, and White subjected plaintiff to unnecessary, unlawful and excessive force, including but not limited to choking the plaintiff, knocking the plaintiff down, dragging the plaintiff and kicking the plaintiff in the face and head, none of which was reasonably related to institutional security, maintaining or restoring discipline or order, or any other legitimate penological interest; and where said defendants' purpose was to harass, humiliate, degrade, and/or physically injure the plaintiff.
- 26. The use of force by the defendants was unjustified, unreasonable, unnecessary, malicious, sadistic, and excessive.
- 27. Prior to April 14, 2011, Defendants, City, Delaney, and Giorla, developed and maintained policies, practices and/or customs exhibiting deliberate indifference to the constitutional rights of persons within the Philadelphia Prison System and/or the Curran-Fromhold Correctional Facility, which caused the violation of the plaintiff's civil rights.
- 28. Beginning on or about April 14, 2011 and on an ongoing basis thereafter, Defendants Grundy, Billups, Ortiz, Bynum, Capers, Palmer, Marin, and White falsely and maliciously represented to the Philadelphia Police Department and the Philadelphia District Attorney's office that the plaintiff had committed aggravated and simple assault against them and recklessly endangered them, intentionally causing the plaintiff to be arrested on these charges on or about June 20, 2011 and to be prosecuted.

- 29. Plaintiff was acquitted of the charge of aggravated assault on or about January 13, 2012, and the other charges were nolle prossed on that date.
- 30. It was the policy, practice and/or custom of Defendants, City, Delaney, and Giorla, to condone the use unjustified, unreasonable, unnecessary, malicious, sadistic, and excessive force against inmates within the Philadelphia Prison System and/or the Curran-Fromhold Correctional Facility.
- 31. It was the policy, practice, and/or custom of Defendants, City, Delaney, and Giorla, to fail to train correctional officers in proper methods, techniques, procedures and protocols for obtaining compliance restraining inmates in a manner utilizing only justified, reasonable, and necessary force.
- 32. It was the policy, practice and/or custom of Defendants, City, Delaney, and Giorla, to condone the false arrest and malicious prosecution of prisoners by corrections officers in order to cover up their assault and battery and violations of Fourteenth and Eighth Amendment rights of prisoners.
- 33. The actions of the defendants constituted various violations of plaintiff's civil rights, assault and battery, and other actionable offenses.
- 34. As a result of the aforementioned actions and/or inactions of the defendants, plaintiff sustained multiple serious injuries, some or all of which may be permanent, including, but not limited to, injuries to plaintiff's left eye and to the nerves and/or soft tissue structures controlling the movement of the eye, double vision, 4th nerve palsy of the left eye, blurred vision, headaches, left shoulder pain, neck pain, back pain, and severe shock and injury to his nerves and nervous system; mental, psychological and emotional distress, embarrassment, anxiety and humiliation; and other injuries some or all of which

have yet to become manifest and/or be diagnosed. All of the foregoing injuries have

rendered plaintiff sick, sore; lame, prostrate, disabled, disfigured, and disordered and

have forced him to suffer great mental anguish; embarrassment; humiliation; loss of

enjoyment of life's pleasures; and physical and mental injuries, pain, and suffering, all of

which may continue for an indefinite time into the future.

35. As a result of the aforesaid actions and inactions of the defendants, plaintiff has

been obliged to expend and will continue to expend in the future large sums of money

and/or incur debts and liens for medical care and treatment, all to his great financial

detriment and loss, which may continue for an indefinite time into the future.

36. As a result of the aforesaid actions and inactions of the defendants, plaintiff has

been unable to follow his usual occupation(s) and customary daily duties and other

activities and has been caused to suffer a loss of income and earning capacity, all of

which may continue for an indefinite time into the future to his great detriment and loss.

37. As a result of the aforesaid actions and inactions of the defendants, the plaintiff

was arrested, prosecuted, incarcerated and otherwise was deprived of his liberty and

freedom due to the false charges brought against him by Defendants Grundy, Billups,

Ortiz, Bynum, Capers, Palmer, Marin, and White.

WHEREFORE, Plaintiff demands judgment against the Defendants, jointly and

severally, in amounts not in excess of \$50,000.00 each, together with punitive damages,

delay damages, attorney's fees and costs.

COUNT I

PLAINTIFF V. DEFENDANTS JASON GRUNDY, MAJOVIE BILLUPS, DAISY ORTIZ, DONOVAN BYNUM, MICHAEL CAPERS, JAMES PALMER III, ENRIQUE MARIN, AND CARLOS WHITE

FOURTEENTH AMENDMENT AND STATUTORY

CIVIL RIGHTS VIOLATIONS – USE OF EXCESSIVE FORCE

- set forth herein at length.
- 39. The actions of Defendants Grundy, Billups, Ortiz, Bynum, Capers, Palmer, Marin, and White violated plaintiff's rights to due process and to be safe from unreasonable, unnecessary, unjustified, willful, wanton, malicious, sadistic, and excessive use of force under the Fourteenth Amendment to the United States Constitution.
- 40. As stated herein, the actions of Defendants Grundy, Billups, Ortiz, Bynum, Capers, Palmer, Marin, and White were maliciously, intentionally, willfully, wantonly, and sadistically directed towards the plaintiff to cause the plaintiff harm, and without any justification or cause.
- 41. The defendants violated plaintiff's civil rights under the Fourteenth Amendment and pursuant to 42 U.S.C. Section 1983 in the following:
 - (a) Depriving plaintiff of his due process rights and participating in the use of unjustified, unnecessary, unreasonable, malicious, sadistic, and excessive force on the plaintiff;
 - (b) Failing to stop the other correctional officer defendants from beating and injuring the plaintiff;
 - (c) Willfully, wantonly, maliciously, recklessly, and/or with callous indifference to plaintiff's federally protected rights using an unreasonable, unnecessary, unjustified, malicious, sadistic, and excessive force upon plaintiff;
 - (d) Willfully, wantonly, maliciously, recklessly, and/or with callous indifference to plaintiff's federally protected rights failing to obtain necessary medical attention and treatment for plaintiff in a timely fashion;
 - (e) Willfully, wantonly, maliciously, recklessly, and/or with callous indifference to plaintiff's federally protected rights preventing plaintiff from receiving necessary medical attention and treatment in a timely fashion;

- (f) Acting with an evil motive or intent to cause the plaintiff harm and/or with a reckless or callous indifference to the plaintiff's federally protected rights.
- 42. As a direct and proximate result of the actions of Defendants Grundy, Billups, Ortiz, Bynum, Capers, Palmer, Marin, and White and their violations of the plaintiff's civil rights, the plaintiff suffered the physical and mental injuries, indignities, loss of rights and harms which are already described above, and which are incorporated herein by reference.

WHEREFORE, Plaintiff demands judgment against the Defendants, jointly and severally, in amounts not in excess of \$50,000.00 each, together with punitive damages, delay damages, attorney's fees and costs.

COUNT II

PLAINTIFF V. DEFENDANTS JASON GRUNDY, MAJOVIE BILLUPS, DAISY ORTIZ, DONOVAN BYNUM, MICHAEL CAPERS, JAMES PALMER III, ENRIQUE MARIN, AND CARLOS WHITE EIGHTH AMENDMENT AND STATUTORY CIVIL RIGHTS VIOLATIONS – CRUEL AND UNUSUAL PUNISHMENT

- 43. Plaintiff hereby incorporates by reference all prior paragraphs the same as though set forth herein at length.
- 44. The actions of Defendants Grundy, Billups, Ortiz, Bynum, Capers, Palmer, Marin, and White violated plaintiff's rights to be free from cruel and unusual punishment, and to be safe from unreasonable, unnecessary, unjustified, willful, wanton, malicious, sadistic, and excessive use of force, under the Eighth Amendment to the United States Constitution.
- 45. As stated herein, the actions of Defendants Grundy, Billups, Ortiz, Bynum, Capers, Palmer, Marin, and White were maliciously, intentionally, willfully, wantonly,

and sadistically directed towards the plaintiff to cause the plaintiff harm, and without any justification or cause.

- 46. The defendants violated plaintiff's civil rights under the Eighth Amendment and pursuant to 42 U.S.C. Section 1983 in the following:
 - (a) Depriving plaintiff of his right to be free from cruel and unusual punishment and participating in the use of unjustified, unnecessary, unreasonable, malicious, sadistic, and excessive force on the plaintiff;
 - (b) Failing to stop the other correctional officer defendants from beating and injuring the plaintiff;
 - (c) Willfully, wantonly, maliciously, recklessly, and/or with callous indifference to plaintiff's federally protected rights using an unreasonable, unnecessary, unjustified, malicious, sadistic, and excessive force upon plaintiff;
 - (d) Willfully, wantonly, maliciously, recklessly, and/or with callous indifference to plaintiff's federally protected rights failing to obtain necessary medical attention and treatment for plaintiff in a timely fashion;
 - (e) Willfully, wantonly, maliciously, recklessly, and/or with callous indifference to plaintiff's federally protected rights preventing plaintiff from receiving necessary medical attention and treatment in a timely fashion;
 - (f) Acting with an evil motive or intent to cause the plaintiff harm and/or with a reckless or callous indifference to the plaintiff's federally protected rights.
- 47. As a direct and proximate result of the actions of the defendants and their violations of the plaintiff's civil rights, the plaintiff suffered the physical and mental injuries, indignities, loss of rights and harms which are already described above, and which are incorporated herein by reference.

WHEREFORE, Plaintiff demands judgment against the Defendants, jointly and severally, in amounts not in excess of \$50,000.00 each, together with punitive damages, delay damages, attorney's fees and costs.

COUNT III

PLAINTIFF V. DEFENDANTS JASON GRUNDY, MAJOVIE BILLUPS, DAISY ORTIZ, DONOVAN-BYNUM, MICHAEL CAPERS, JAMES PALMER III, ENRIQUE MARIN, AND CARLOS WHITE ASSAULT AND BATTERY

- 48. Plaintiff hereby incorporates by reference all prior allegations the same as though set forth herein at length.
- 49. Defendants Grundy, Billups, Ortiz, Bynum, Capers, Palmer, Marin, and White recklessly, intentionally, willfully, wantonly, maliciously, sadistically, and unlawfully beat, injured, punched, hit, kicked, verbally abused and/or otherwise assaulted and battered the plaintiff and placed him in fear for his safety as more fully stated hereinbefore.
- 50. At all relevant and material times hereto, Defendants Grundy, Billups, Ortiz, Bynum, Capers, Palmer, Marin, and White lacked the authority, reason and/or cause to strike, assault, batter, verbally abuse, use excessive force upon and/or otherwise injure the plaintiff.
- 51. The actions of Defendants Grundy, Billups, Ortiz, Bynum, Capers, Palmer, Marin, and White, as more specifically described above were unlawful, unnecessary and without justification and constituted the use of excessive force and assault and battery.
- 52. As a direct and proximate result of the actions of Defendants Grundy, Billups, Ortiz, Bynum, Capers, Palmer, Marin, and White, the plaintiff suffered the physical and mental injuries, indignities, loss of rights and harms which are already described above, and which are incorporated herein by reference.

WHEREFORE, Plaintiff demands judgment against the Defendants, jointly and severally, in amounts not in excess of \$50,000.00 each, together with punitive damages, delay damages, and costs.

COUNT IV

PLAINTIFF V. DEFENDANTS JASON GRUNDY, MAJOVIE BILLUPS, DAISY ORTIZ, DONOVAN BYNUM, MICHAEL CAPERS, JAMES PALMER III, ENRIQUE MARIN, AND CARLOS WHITE STATE LAW FALSE ARREST AND MALICIOUS PROSECUTION

- 53. Plaintiff incorporates by reference the averments of the preceding paragraphs as fully as though same were set forth herein in their entirety.
- 54. At all times relevant and material hereto, Defendants Grundy, Billups, Ortiz, Bynum, Capers, Palmer, Marin, and White initiated and carried out the arrest and prosecution of the plaintiff despite their knowledge that the charges they initiated and/or brought against the plaintiff were false.
- 55. In the alternative, at all times relevant and material hereto, the defendants initiated and carried out the arrest and prosecution of the plaintiff with undue haste, on insufficient factual grounds to warrant a prudent person to believe that plaintiff had committed any of the offenses with which he was charged, and with disregard of the factual grounds that demonstrated plaintiff's lack of culpability.
- At all times relevant and material hereto, Defendants Grundy, Billups, Ortiz, Bynum, Capers, Palmer, Marin, and White acted with malice consisting of ill will toward the plaintiff and/or a reckless and oppressive disregard for plaintiff's rights, and with a motive of covering up and protecting themselves from the potential adverse professional and legal consequences of their assault and battery of the plaintiff and their violations of the plaintiff's Constitutional rights under the Eighth and Fourteenth Amendments.

- 57. As a direct and proximate result of the false arrest and malicious prosecution of the plaintiff by Defendants Grundy, Billups, Ortiz, Bynum, Capers, Palmer, Marin, and White, the plaintiff was arrested, prosecuted, incarcerated and otherwise was deprived of his liberty and freedom.
- 58. As a direct and proximate result of the false arrest and malicious prosecution of the plaintiff by Defendants Grundy, Billups, Ortiz, Bynum, Capers, Palmer, Marin, and White, the plaintiff sustained emotional distress, psychological injury, and shock and injury to his nerves and nervous system.
- 59. As a direct and proximate result of the false arrest and malicious prosecution of the plaintiff by Defendants Grundy, Billups, Ortiz, Bynum, Capers, Palmer, Marin, and White, the plaintiff suffered great mental anguish; embarrassment; humiliation; loss of enjoyment of life's pleasures; and physical and mental injuries, pain, and suffering, all of which may continue for an indefinite time into the future.
- 60. As a direct and proximate result of the false arrest and malicious prosecution of the plaintiff by Defendants Grundy, Billups, Ortiz, Bynum, Capers, Palmer, Marin, and White, plaintiff has been unable to follow his usual occupation and customary daily duties and other activities and has been caused to suffer a loss of income and earning capacity, all of which may continue for an indefinite time into the future to his great detriment and loss.
- 61. As a direct and proximate result of the false arrest and malicious prosecution of the plaintiff by Defendants Grundy, Billups, Ortiz, Bynum, Capers, Palmer, Marin, and White, plaintiff has been obliged to expend and will continue to expend in the future large sums of money and/or incur debts and liens for medical care and treatment, all to his

great financial detriment and loss, which may continue for an indefinite time into the future.

62. As a direct and proximate result of the false arrest and malicious prosecution of the plaintiff by Defendants Grundy, Billups, Ortiz, Bynum, Capers, Palmer, Marin, and White, the plaintiff sustained a loss of earnings, diminution of his earning capacity, and other economic damages.

WHEREFORE, Plaintiff demands judgment against the Defendants, jointly and severally, in amounts not in excess of \$50,000.00 each, together with punitive damages, delay damages, and costs.

COUNTV

PLAINTIFF V. DEFENDANTS JASON GRUNDY, MAJOVIE BILLUPS, DAISY ORTIZ, DONOVAN BYNUM, MICHAEL CAPERS, JAMES PALMER III, ENRIQUE MARIN, AND CARLOS WHITE 42 U.S.C. §§ 1983 AND FOURTH AND FOURTEENTH AMENDMENT VIOLATIONS - FALSE ARREST AND MALICIOUS PROSECUTION

- 63. Plaintiff incorporates by reference the averments of the preceding paragraphs as fully as though same were set forth herein in their entirety.
- 64. The aforesaid actions of Defendants Grundy, Billups, Ortiz, Bynum, Capers, Palmer, Marin, and White deprived plaintiff of his rights, privileges and immunities under the United States Constitution, particularly his Fourth Amendment right to be free from unreasonable seizure in the form of false arrest and his Fourteenth Amendment rights to procedural due process and to be free from malicious prosecution, which are actionable pursuant to 42 U.S.C. § 1983.
- 65. As a direct and proximate result of the violation of plaintiff's rights under the Fourth and Fourteenth Amendments to the Constitution of the United States and 42 U.S.C.

§ 1983 by Defendants Grundy, Billups, Ortiz, Bynum, Capers, Palmer, Marin, and White, the plaintiff was arrested, prosecuted, incarcerated and otherwise was deprived of his liberty and freedom.

- As a direct and proximate result of the violation of plaintiff's rights under the Fourth and Fourteenth Amendments to the Constitution of the United States and 42 U.S.C. § 1983 by Defendants Grundy, Billups, Ortiz, Bynum, Capers, Palmer, Marin, and White, the plaintiff sustained emotional distress, psychological injury, and shock and injury to his nerves and nervous system.
- As a direct and proximate result of the violation of plaintiff's rights under the Fourth and Fourteenth Amendments to the Constitution of the United States and 42 U.S.C. § 1983 by Defendants Grundy, Billups, Ortiz, Bynum, Capers, Palmer, Marin, and White, the plaintiff suffered great mental anguish; embarrassment; humiliation; loss of enjoyment of life's pleasures; and physical and mental injuries, pain, and suffering, all of which may continue for an indefinite time into the future.
- As a direct and proximate result of the violation of plaintiff's rights under the Fourth and Fourteenth Amendments to the Constitution of the United States and 42 U.S.C. § 1983 by Defendants Grundy, Billups, Ortiz, Bynum, Capers, Palmer, Marin, and White, plaintiff has been obliged to expend and will continue to expend in the future large sums of money and/or incur debts and liens for medical care and treatment, all to his great financial detriment and loss, which may continue for an indefinite time into the future.
- 69. As a direct and proximate result of the violation of plaintiffs rights under the Fourth and Fourteenth Amendments to the Constitution of the United States and 42 U.S.C. § 1983 by Defendants Grundy, Billups, Ortiz, Bynum, Capers, Palmer, Marin, and White,

plaintiff has been unable to follow his usual occupation and customary daily duties and other activities and has been caused to suffer a loss of income and earning capacity, all of which may continue for an indefinite time into the future to his great detriment and loss.

70. As a direct and proximate result of the violation of plaintiff's rights under the Fourth and Fourteenth Amendments to the Constitution of the United States and 42 U.S.C. § 1983 by Defendants Grundy, Billups, Ortiz, Bynum, Capers, Palmer, Marin, and White, the plaintiff sustained a loss of earnings, diminution of his earning capacity, and other economic damages.

WHEREFORE, Plaintiff demands judgment against the Defendants, jointly and severally, in amounts not in excess of \$50,000.00 each, together with punitive damages, delay damages, attorney's fees and costs.

COUNT VI PLAINTIFF V. DEFENDANTS CITY OF PHILADELPHIA, JOHN P. DELANEY AND LOUIS GIORLA - 42 U.S.C. §§ 1983 AND FOURTH AND FOURTEENTH AMENDMENT VIOLATIONS - MONELL CLAIM

- 71. Plaintiff incorporates by reference the averments of the preceding paragraphs as fully as though same were set forth herein in their entirety.
- 72. As aforesaid, it was the policy, practice and/or custom of Defendants, City, Delaney, and Giorla, to condone the use unjustified, unreasonable, unnecessary, malicious, sadistic, and excessive force against inmates within the Philadelphia Prison System and/or the Curran-Fromhold Correctional Facility.
- 73. It was the policy, practice, and/or custom of Defendants, City, Delaney, and Giorla, to fail to train correctional officers in proper methods, techniques, procedures and

protocols for obtaining compliance and restraining inmates in a manner utilizing only

justified, reasonable, and necessary force.

74. It was the policy, practice and/or custom of Defendants, City, Delaney, and

Giorla, to condone the false arrest and malicious prosecution of prisoners by corrections

officers in order to cover up their assault and battery and violations of Fourteenth and

Eighth Amendment rights of prisoners.

75. The aforesaid policies, practices and/or customs of Defendants City, Delaney, and

Giorla directly and proximately caused the aforesaid violations of plaintiff's Fourteenth

and Eighth Amendment rights to be free from unreasonable, unnecessary, unjustified,

willful, wanton, malicious, sadistic, and excessive use of force; cruel and unusual

punishment; false arrest; and malicious prosecution of the plaintiff by the other

defendants herein.

76. The aforesaid policies, practices and/or customs of Defendants City, Delaney, and

Giorla directly and proximately caused the plaintiff to sustain the injuries, losses of

freedom and liberty, and other losses and damages set forth herein above.

WHEREFORE, Plaintiff demands judgment against the Defendants, jointly and

severally, in amounts not in excess of \$50,000.00 each, together with punitive damages,

delay damages, attorney's fees and costs.

Respectfully submitted,

<u>/s/ Rania Major, Esquire</u>

Rania Major, Esquire

Attorney for Plaintiff

VERIFICATION

authorized to verify that I am the plaintiffs attorney herein and that I am authorized to verify that the statements made in the attached pleading are true and correct to the best of my information, knowledge and belief. I understand that false statements herein are made subject to the penalties of 18 Pa. C.S. Section 4904, relating to unsworn falsification to authorities.

Rania Major, Esquire

Date: 12/17/13

Case 2:14-cv-00133-MMB Document 1 Filed 01/09/14 Page 38 of 39

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF PENNSYLVANIA - DESIGNATION FORM to be used by counsel to indicate the category of the case for the purpose of assignment to appropriate calendar. Address of Plaintiff: SCI Dallas, 1000 Follies Road, Dallas, PA 18612 Address of Defendant: Law Department, 1515 Arch Street, 14th Floor, Philadelphia, PA 19102 Place of Accident, Incident or Transaction: _ Philadelphia, PA (Use Reverse Side For Additional Space) Does this civil action involve a nongovernmental corporate party with any parent corporation and any publicly held corporation owning 10% or more of its stock? (Attach two copies of the Disclosure Statement Form in accordance with Fed.R.Civ.P. 7.1(a)). No 🗵 Yes □ Does this case involve multidistrict litigation possibilities? Yes 🗆 No ⊠ RELATED CASE IF ANY: Case Number: Judge _ Date Terminated: Civil cases are deemed related when yes is answered to any of the following questions: Is this case related to property included in an earlier numbered suit pending or within one year previously terminated action in this court? Yes □ Does this case involve the same issue of fact or grow out of the same transaction as a prior suit pending or within one year previously terminated action in this court? Does this case involve the validity or infringement of a patent already in suit or any earlier numbered case pending or within one year previously terminated action in this court? Yes □ No IXI CIVIL: (Place in ONE CATEGORY ONLY) A. Federal Question Cases: B. Diversity Jurisdiction Cases: ☐ Indemnity Contract, Marine Contract, and All Other Contracts 1.

Insurance Contract and Other Contracts ☐ FELA 2. Airplane Personal Injury 2. 3. Assault, Defamation 3. ☐ Jones Act – Personal Injury ☐ Antitrust 4. 🗆 Marine Personal Injury ☐ Patent 5. 🗆 Motor Vehicle personal Injury 5. □ Labor-Management Relations 6. Other Personal Injury (Please specify) 6. 7. 7. Products Liability 8.

Products liability - Asbestos ☐ Habeas Corpus Securities Act(s) Cases 9. ☐ All other Diversity Cases 10. Social Security Review Cases (Please specify) 11.

All Other Federal Questions Cases (Please specify) ARBITRATION CERTIFICATION (Check appropriate Category) , counsel of record do hereby certify: Dimitrios Mayroudis ☐ Pursuant to Local Rule 53.3 A, civil rights cases are excluded from arbitration. ☐ Pursuant to Local Civil Rule 53.2, Section 3(c)(2), that to the best of my knowledge and belief, the damages recoverable in this civil action case exceed the sum of \$150,000.00 exclusive of interest and costs; ☐ Relief other than monetary damages is sought. 1/9/14 Dimitrios Mavroudis DATE: Attorney-at-Law Attorney I.D. #

NOTE: A trial de novo will be a trial by jury only if there has been compliance with F.R.C.P. 38.

I certify that, to my knowledge, the within case is not related to any fast now pending fr within one year previously terminated action in this court except as noted above.

DATE: 1/9/14

CIV. 609 (4/03)

Dimitrios Mavroudis, Esquire Attorney-at-Law

93773 Attorney I.D. #

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF PENNSYLVANIA

Jermaine Moore	Civil Action
----------------	--------------

v.
City of Phila., John P. Delaney,
Louis Giorla, Jason Grundy,
Majovie Billups, Daisy Ortiz
Donovan Bynum, Michael Capers,
James Palmer, III, Enrique Marin & Carlos White

CASE MANAGEMENT TRACK DESIGNATION FORM

No.

In accordance with the Civil Justice Expense and Delay Reduction Plan of this court, counsel for plaintiff shall complete a Case Management Track Designation Form in all civil cases at the time of filing the complaint and serve a copy on all defendants. (See § 1:03 of the plan set forth on the reverse side of this form.) In the event that a defendant does not agree with the plaintiff regarding said designation, that defendant shall, with its first appearance, submit to the clerk of court and serve on the plaintiff and all other parties, a case management track designation form specifying the track to which that defendant believes the case should be assigned.

SELECT ONE OF THE FOLLOWING CASE MANAGEMENT TRACKS:

Telephone		FAX Number	E-mail Address		-
(2)	15) 683-5444	(215) 683-5397	dimitrios.mavroudis@phila.	gov	
Dat	te	Attorney-at-law	Attorney for		
	/1 <u>4</u>	Dimitrios Mavroudis, Esquire	City of Philadelphia, et al		
(f)	f) Standard Management Cases that do not fall into any one of the other tracks.			()	()
(e)	commonly referre	nent Cases that do not fall into tracks (and to as complex and that need special or everse side of this form for a detailed expense.)	intense management by	()
(d)	 Asbestos Cases involving claims for personal injury or property damage from exposure to asbestos.)
(c)	Arbitration Cases required to be designated for arbitration under Local Civil Rule 53.2.)
(b)) Social Security Cases requesting review of a decision of the Secretary of Health and Human Services denying plaintiff Social Security Benefits.)
(a)	Habeas Corpus Cases brought under 28 U.S.C. §2241through § 2255.			()
(م)	Habaaa Camuu	G 1 1, 1 00 XI G G 000 414	1.00055	,	

(Civ. 660) 10/02